



# Combined effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and Fe deficiency on common bean metabolism and mineral profile

Teresa Deuchande · Marta Vasconcelos

Received: 17 October 2022 / Accepted: 29 March 2023  
© The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

**Aims** Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) and restricted iron (Fe) supply are known to impact plant growth and nutritional quality of food crops. However, studies aimed at understanding how eCO<sub>2</sub> will interact with Fe deficiency are scarce. Changes in the nutritional status of the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) may significantly impact the nutritional status of populations that rely heavily on this crop.

**Methods** To understand the combined effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> and Fe deficiency on mechanisms relevant to plant nutrient uptake and accumulation, common bean plants were grown under Fe sufficiency (Fe+, 20 mM Fe-EDDHA) and Fe deficiency (Fe-, 0 mM Fe-EDDHA) combined with eCO<sub>2</sub> (800 ppm) or ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>, 400 ppm) in hydroponics until maturity.

**Results** Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, besides stimulating photosynthesis and stomatal closure, highly affected plant Fe metabolism: stimulated root ferric chelate reductase (FCR) activity by 6-fold and downregulated the expression of root *FRO1* and *IRT1* expressions by about 4-fold. In leaves, citrate and oxalate increased, but *ferritin* expression decreased by 9-fold. Such

changes may have determined the differences on mineral accumulation patterns particularly the lower levels of Fe in roots (62%), leaves (38%) and seeds (50%). The combination of Fe deficiency and eCO<sub>2</sub> doubled the effect of a single factor on FCR up-regulation, balanced the internal pH of Fe deficient plants, and resulted in the lowest Fe accumulation in all plant parts.

**Conclusions** These results suggest that eCO<sub>2</sub> directly affects the Fe uptake mechanism of common bean plants, decreasing plant Fe content.

**Keywords** Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> · Iron (Fe) deficiency · Fe uptake · Mineral nutrition · Organic acids · Photosynthesis · *Phaseolus vulgaris*

## Introduction

Mineral deficiencies are a global public health problem affecting more than two billion people and causing more than two million deaths annually (Black et al. 2008). A diet deficient in essential minerals causes decreased growth in childhood, reduced immunity to infectious diseases, and higher maternal and child death rates. Dietary iron (Fe) deficiency alone leads to nearly 200,000 deaths and the loss of 45 million life years annually (Loladze 2014; Smith et al. 2017; Beach et al. 2019). It is well known that in calcareous soils, which represent about 30% of the arable land in the world, most Fe is unavailable for uptake due

---

Responsible Editor: Jian Feng Ma.

---

T. Deuchande (✉) · M. Vasconcelos  
Universidade Católica Portuguesa, CBQF - Centro de Biotecnologia e Química Fina - Laboratório Associado, Escola Superior de Biotecnologia, Rua Diogo Botelho 1327, 4169-005 Porto, Portugal  
e-mail: tdeuchande@ucp.pt

to its low solubility. Several studies have shown that under Fe restriction, plants are affected by iron deficiency chlorosis (IDC), characterized by early leaf yellowing and necrosis and subsequent reduction of Fe accumulation in legume seeds (Krouma et al. 2003; Santos et al. 2013, 2015; Vasconcelos and Grusak 2014). Dicotyledonous plants such as common beans respond to Fe limitation by inducing the Strategy I mechanism (Römheld and Marschner 1986), which involves: i) the expression of active proton pumps (HA) to increase ferric iron ( $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ) solubility; ii) a ferric reductase (FRO1) to reduce ferric iron ( $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ) to ferrous iron ( $\text{Fe}^{+2}$ ) making it more soluble; and iii) an Fe transporter (IRT1) to take up Fe from the external medium (Kim and Guerinot 2007; Morrissey and Guerinot 2009; Liang 2022). Under Fe deficiency, the utilization of this mechanism induces proton pumping to the apoplast to acidify the external medium and solubilize Fe, favoring Fe uptake and transportation within the plant (Santi and Schmidt 2009; del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda et al. 2013; Zhao et al. 2016). Ferritin, as an essential Fe storage protein, also plays a significant role in maintaining cellular Fe homeostasis (Van Wuytswinkel et al. 1999; Ravet et al. 2009; Grant-Grant et al. 2022), preventing free Fe to form highly reactive hydroxyl radicals through the Fenton reaction (Ravet et al. 2009; Goto et al. 2013; Zielińska 2015). Organic acids are also involved in Fe uptake, particularly citrate, which contributes to cytoplasmic acidification and chelates metal cations in the root, transporting them through the plant (Susin et al. 1996; Abadía et al. 2002; López-Millán et al. 2009; Gama et al. 2016; Igamberdiev and Eprintsev 2016).

In addition to the nutritional loss derived from soil Fe deficiency, the earth's climate change poses a fundamental threat to humanity. The rise of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  is the main driver for most of these changes. Currently, the global atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration, as measured in August 2022, is about 417 ppm ( $\text{CO}_2$ -Earth 2022; Scripps UCSD 2022), but it will reach about 800 ppm by the end of this century (Franks 2013). Beyond its direct effects on global warming, elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $\text{eCO}_2$ ) affects plant growth, crop yield, and the nutritional status of agricultural products.  $\text{eCO}_2$  induces increased photosynthesis, sugar accumulation, and changes in organic acid concentrations with levels in the shoots tending to increase whereas in the roots, tending to decrease (Li et al. 2007; Jauregui et al. 2015, 2016; Noguchi

et al. 2015; Ainsworth and Lemonnier 2018), potentially leading to higher yields. However, photosynthetic acclimation may occur, decreasing quality and productivity (Lambreva et al. 2005; Córdoba et al. 2017; da Silva et al. 2017). Studies based on meta-analyses have shown that  $\text{eCO}_2$  reduces mineral or protein concentrations in several crop species (Jablonski et al. 2002; Taub et al. 2008; Loladze 2014; Myers et al. 2014; Medek et al. 2017).

It is now irrefutable that limited soil Fe supply and  $\text{eCO}_2$  are independently impacting the nutrition of plant foods (Soares et al. 2019; Deuchande et al. 2021). Given that  $\text{eCO}_2$  induces metabolic changes in traits such as stomatal conductance (Ainsworth and Rogers 2007), organic acid release (O'Sullivan et al. 2021), sugar (Jauregui et al. 2015, 2016) and plant biomass accumulation (Ainsworth and Long 2005; Jin et al. 2009; Kumar et al. 2017), these traits directly or indirectly influence Fe uptake. Therefore, we hypothesize that an essential interplay between  $\text{eCO}_2$  and Fe deficiency may induce changes in mineral accumulation in crop species. However, investigation on the impact of these two factors is scarce. For instance, we have recently reported an interaction of these two factors in soybean and common bean plants during the first three weeks under hydroponics, leading to reduced growth, photosynthesis, and mineral accumulation (Deuchande et al. 2021). Still, these physiological and molecular mechanisms are not yet understood. Previous studies aimed to understand the effect of  $\text{eCO}_2$  on mineral nutrition focused on N and P deficiencies (Leakey et al. 2009; Tawaraya et al. 2014; Jin et al. 2015; Niu et al. 2016; Yilmaz et al. 2017; Dong et al. 2018; Vicente et al. 2018). To the best of our knowledge, there are only a few studies conducted on tomato, soybean, and common bean where these two factors were combined (Jin et al. 2009; Deuchande et al. 2021; Soares et al. 2022). Furthermore, although these studies discuss how these factors affect plant physiology, they do not present results on nutrient accumulation at the grain level.

Legumes provide a large share of the global population's diet, and the common bean (*P. vulgaris*) is the most critical legume produced worldwide for direct human consumption (Blair et al. 2010, 2016). Also, for more than 300 million people in parts of Eastern Africa and Latin America, it is the primary source of protein and micronutrients, especially Fe but also zinc (Zn) and folic acid, among others (Beebe et al. 2000;

Castro-Guerrero et al. 2016; Dissanayaka et al. 2021). This study aimed to investigate the independent and combined effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> and Fe deficiency on plant growth and mineral nutrition, focusing on the impact of these factors in the photosynthetic and stomatal regulation and Fe uptake mechanisms, including: i) root Fe reductase activity; ii) cytoplasmic acidification; iii) organic acid and sugar accumulation; and v) expression of key enzymes and transporters involved in Fe uptake (FRO1, IRT1, ferritin and a plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase). The goal is to propose a preliminary model that insight into some of the mechanisms impacted by these environmental factors that may be responsible for the reported nutritional losses under eCO<sub>2</sub> and Fe deficiency.

## Materials and methods

### Plant material and growth conditions

The *P. vulgaris* cv. “Chocolade Bruine Boon” (PI 477023) was obtained from the United States Department of Agriculture through the Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN). This variety was selected considering the results of our previous experiments which showed that it was intermediately tolerant to Fe deficiency (unpublished data) and strong responsive to eCO<sub>2</sub> (Soares et al. 2019). Thus, we ensured that plants would be able to survive in the absence of Fe up to the end of the experiment and a significant effect of CO<sub>2</sub> would be observed. The seeds were placed in germination bags filled with water for six days in the dark, at 25 °C. Germinated seedlings were transferred to 5 L hydroponic vessels (four seedlings per vessel). The vessels were placed in a climate chamber (Aralab Fitoclima 10000EHF) with 16 h day photoperiod providing 325 μmol s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> of photosynthetic photon flux density at plant level supplied by a mixture of incandescent bulbs and fluorescent lights. Temperatures were set to 25 °C during the light period and to 20 °C during the dark period, whereas relative humidity was maintained at 75% throughout day and night. Plants were grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>, 400 ppm) or eCO<sub>2</sub> (800 ppm) in the same chamber in subsequent periods of time. The standard solution for hydroponic growth included: 1.2 mM KNO<sub>3</sub>; 0.8 mM Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>;

0.2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O; 0.3 mM; NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; 25 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>; 25 mM H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>; 0.5 mM MnSO<sub>4</sub>; 2 mM; ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O; 0.5 mM CuSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O; 0.5 mM MoO<sub>3</sub>; 0.1 mM NiSO<sub>4</sub>. The hydroponic solution was buffered with 1 mM MES, pH 5.5, continuously aerated and changed every two days. Plants were grown for six days under complete solution with 20 μM Fe(III)-EDDHA followed by two weeks under Fe-sufficiency (20 μM Fe(III)-EDDHA (ethylenediamine-N,N bis(o-hydroxyphenyl)acetic acid) or Fe deficiency (0 μM Fe(III)-EDDHA). Under each condition, 15 plants were grown and divided in three sets of five plants each for different purposes. After the three first growing weeks, two sets of plants from each condition were used. In one set of plants morphometric (height), physiological (photosynthetic rates and stomatal conductance) and biochemical (root ferric chelate reductase (FCR) activity) parameters were measured. The roots, stems, and leaves of these plants were dissected and dried at 65 °C until stable weight, for measuring biomass accumulation.

At this time-point another set of plants was sampled for biochemical and gene expression analysis and the remaining set of five plants was used to assess the impact of eCO<sub>2</sub> plus Fe deficiency on grain nutritional composition having these plants been grown until complete pod filling, when the grain yield was also determined.

### Sampling

Samples of roots, stems and leaves from five plants of each condition [Fe sufficiency at ambient aCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub>, *control plants*) or eCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe+/eCO<sub>2</sub>); and Fe deficiency at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub>) or eCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe-/eCO<sub>2</sub>)], were frozen and grounded into powder with a mortar and pestle under N<sub>2</sub> for biochemical and molecular analyses. The biochemical analyses included the measurement of the concentrations of organic acids, chlorophylls a and b, carotenoids and soluble protein, whereas the molecular analysis included the expression of the genes *ferritin* (*PvFerritin*), *ferric reductase oxidase 1-like* (*PvFRO1*), *iron-regulated transporter 1-like* (*PvIRT1*), and plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase 2-like (*PvHA2*) genes. For mineral analyses, another set of samples of the same organ tissues was dried.

The seeds collected at the end of the experiment were grinded into powder for mineral analyses.

## Photosynthesis, gas exchange and pigments accumulation

The photosynthetic rate as well as the stomatal conductance, transpiration rate and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> were measured using an infra-red gas analyser (IRGA) Li-6400XT Portable Photosynthesis System (LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, USA). The measurements were taken maintaining the following conditions: leaf temperature at 25 °C, photosynthetically active photon flux density at 500 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at 400 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> for plant grown under aCO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>) and 800 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> for plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub>, and flow at 500 μmol s<sup>-1</sup>. The relative chlorophyll levels were assessed using a portable Soil-Plant Analyser Development chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502 Plus; Konica Minolta, Osaka, Japan). The photosynthetic pigments were extracted from leaf samples previously frozen and grinded under N<sub>2</sub> using 10 ml of cold acetone/1 M tris buffer solution [80:20 (v/v), pH 7.8]. The homogenates were incubated at 4 °C for 24 h and then centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 g. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at: 470, 537, 647 and 663 nm and the concentrations of chlorophylls a and b and carotenoids were calculated as described by Sims and Gamon (2002).

## Ferric chelate reductase assay

Root Fe chelate reductase activity was quantified as described by Grusak et al. (1990). The measurements were carried out on intact roots of five individual plants via the spectrophotometric determination of Fe<sup>2+</sup> chelated to BPDS (bathophenanthroline disulfonic acid) at 535 nm. Roots of each plant were submerged in assay solution containing: 1.2 mM KNO<sub>3</sub>, 0.8 mM Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 0.3 mM NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 25 μM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 25 μM H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 0.5 μM MnSO<sub>4</sub>, 2 μM ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 μM CuSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 μM MoO<sub>3</sub>, 0.1 μM NiSO<sub>4</sub>, 100 μM Fe(III)-EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) and 100 μM BPDS. All nutrients were buffered with 1 mM MES, pH 5.5. Rates of reduction were determined using the molar extinction coefficient of 22.14 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## Stem internal pH assessment

This analysis has been performed in the roots to assess the effect of Fe deficiency in root cytoplasmic acidification capacity (Tian et al. 2016; Zhao et al. 2016). In this study, the aim was to assess the effect of both factors, restricted Fe supply and shoot exposure to eCO<sub>2</sub>, in the internal pH of the plant.

Acidification assays were performed as described by Yi et al. (1994) using 1 cm sections of plant stems frozen under N<sub>2</sub> and stored at -80 °C until analysis. The stem sections of three plants from each condition were transferred to 1% agar plate containing 0.006% bromocresol purple and 0.2 mM CaSO<sub>4</sub> (pH adjusted to 6.5 with NaOH) for 24 h. Acidification is indicated by the yellow colour around the stem, whereas alkalisation is given by the darker purple colour.

## Organic acids and sugars

The extraction and analysis of organic acids and sugars was performed as described by Vasconcelos et al. (2014) with slight modifications. Samples were ground in a mortar and pestle with 2 ml of 2.5 mM sulfuric acid. Homogenates were boiled for 30 min, filtered with a 0.2 μm PTFE filter, and kept at -80 °C until HPLC analysis. Organic anions were analysed with an HPLC (Lachrom, Merck Hitachi, Darmstadt, Germany) with an ion exchange Aminex HPX 87E column (300×7.8 mm) maintained at 65 °C and two detectors in series: refractive index and absorbance (220 nm). The mobile phase was 2.5 mM sulfuric acid, flown at a rate of 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The injection volume was 50 μL and the running time, 30 min. Peaks corresponding to citric, malic, succinic and oxalic acids and glucose, sucrose and fructose were identified by comparison of their retention times with those of known standards from Bio-Rad and Sigma (St. Louis, USA). Quantification was made with standards of known amounts of each organic anion and sugar using peak areas.

## Protein and minerals

Seeds were analyzed for crude protein concentration (N × 5.28) using a Leco nitrogen analyzer (Model FP-528, Leco Corporation, St. Joseph, USA).

Mineral concentrations were assessed in root, leaf, and seed tissues. Dried tissue powder

(200 mg) was mixed with 5 ml of 65% HNO<sub>3</sub> in a Teflon reaction vessel and digested in a microwave system (Speedwave MWS-3+, Berghof, Eningen, Germany). Digestion was conducted in five steps: 130 °C for 5 min; 160 °C for 10 min; 170 °C for 10 min; 100 °C for 2 min and 100 °C for 2 min. The resulting solutions were filtered and brought up to 50 ml with ultrapure water for analysis. Mineral concentrations were analysed by inductively coupled plasma argon spectrometry (ICP; ICP-OES Optima 7000 DV, PerkinElmer, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Five biological replicates were analysed in triplicate. Mineral concentrations were expressed in mg kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight.

#### RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Five biological replicates of root and leaf samples from each growth condition were grinded with a mortar and pestle, and total RNA was extracted using Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit (USA, #74904), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality and quantity were checked by UV spectrophotometry, using a nanophotometer (Implen, Isaza, Portugal) and RNA integrity was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis. Single strand cDNA was synthesized from 750 ng of total RNA, using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-rad laboratories Inc., CA, USA) in a thermal cycler (VWR, Doppio, Belgium), following the manufacturer instructions.

#### Primer design and RT-qPCR

The transcript levels of genes encoding *ferritin*, *IRT1*, *FRO1* and *H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase 2-like (HA2)* were analysed, using *actin-11 (Act11)* and *Tubulin beta-9 (β-Tub9)* as reference genes (Borges et al. 2012). Primers were designed using the Primer-Blast tool from NCBI specifying an expected PCR product of 100–200 bp, primer annealing temperatures between 57 °C and 63 °C and selecting span an exon-exon junction (Table 1). The RT-qPCR analyses were performed in a CFX96 Touch™ Deep Well Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, USA) using iQ™ SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA). Primer efficiency was determined for all primers by qPCR analysis of a standard curve, constructed by serial dilutions of the synthesized cDNA from one test sample. The amplification protocol was set to cycle as follows: 95 °C denaturation for 10 min; 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s followed by 56–60 °C (depending on primers used) for 30 s; followed by melt curve stages to check that only single products were amplified. The stability of the reference genes was evaluated using the ΔCT method and geNorm software. All expression data were normalized against the geometric mean of the expression of the two stable reference genes, using the delta CT method. Five biological replicates were analysed, and two technical repetitions of each biological replicate were performed. Non-template controls (NTC) were included in each plate to discard the presence of primer dimers and/or primer contamination.

**Table 1** Primers used for quantitative qPCR analysis

Gene name	Primer pairs	Gene Name /Gene bank Acc. No.	Fragment size (bp)	Reference
<i>PvActin-11</i>	F 5'-TGCATACGTTGGTGATGAGG-3' R 5'-AGCCTTGGGGTTAAGAGGAG-3'	CV529679.1	190	(Borges et al. 2012)
<i>PvSkip 16</i>	F 5'-CACCAGGATGCAAAAGTGG-3' R 5'-ATCCGCTTGCCCTTGAAC-3'	FG231556.1	163	(Borges et al. 2012)
<i>PvFerritin</i>	F 5'-AAGGGATTTGCCAGGTTCTTCA-3' R 5'-TAACGCATCCCCCTTCTCCA-3'	phavu.Phvul.008G093700 X58274.1	159	–
<i>PvFRO1</i>	F 5'-CATGATCGCTCCGGGATTT-3' R 5'-GCACAGGGTAAAATGCGAGC-3'	phavu.Phvul.007G073900 XM_007143400.1	105	–
<i>PvIRT1</i>	F 5'-TACACACCTGTGGTTTGAGC-3' R 5'-AAGCAGCAGTGCAAACATGG-3'	phavu.Phvul.002G322800 XM_007160383.1	187	–
<i>PvHA2</i>	F 5'-TCCAAAAGAGGCAAGGGCTG-3' R 5'-GGAGCACCTTGTCTTGAACG-3'	phavu.Phvul.003G143800.1 XM_007154680.1	126	–

## Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (two-way ANOVA) for the effect of Fe and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration using the GraphPad Prism version 8.0 (San Diego, CA, USA). Significant differences among plants of all treatments were determined by Tukey's test at  $P < 0.05$ . For the hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA), data were subjected to square root transformation and auto-scaled. The analysis was conducted using the Euclidean similarity measure and the average algorithm using the MetaboAnalyst version 5.0.

**Table 2** Biomass of root, shoot, unifoliate and trifoliate (g DW), root:shoot ratio, height (cm), grain yield (seeds/plant), average 1-seed weight (g), concentrations of chlorophyll (SPAD units), chlorophyll a and b, chlorophyll (a+b), carotenoids (mmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW), photosynthetic rates (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>), maximum carboxylation rate ( $V_{\text{cmax}}$ ), maximum phosphorylation rate ( $J_{\text{max}}$ )

## Results

### Morphometric, biomass and productivity measurements

Plants exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe+/eCO<sub>2</sub>) showed the highest root biomass ( $1.595 \pm 0.151$  g DW) and height ( $59.9 \pm 8.3$  cm) (Table 2). The stem biomass of these plants and those only exposed to Fe limitation (Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub>) was 60% higher than that of control plants (Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub>). Leaf biomass showed no significant differences among the plants of all conditions. The root: shoot ratio was significantly higher in the plants

and maximum CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated assimilation rate ( $A_{\text{max}}$ ) (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), stomatal conductance (mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), transpiration rate (mmol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and intrinsic water use efficiency (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O) of plants grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>, 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>, 800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) and at 0 added (-Fe) or 20 μM Fe-EDDHA (+Fe)

	+Fe, aCO <sub>2</sub>	+Fe, eCO <sub>2</sub>	-Fe, aCO <sub>2</sub>	-Fe, eCO <sub>2</sub>
<b>Biomass and height</b>				
Root	0.844 ± 0.078 a	1.595 ± 0.151 b	1.450 ± 0.147 ab	1.439 ± 0.179 ab
Stem	0.643 ± 0.041 a	1.025 ± 0.030 b	1.054 ± 0.111 b	0.744 ± 0.051 a
Unifoliate	0.415 ± 0.061 a	0.643 ± 0.086 a	0.769 ± 0.101 a	0.572 ± 0.087 a
Trifoliate	1.750 ± 0.227 a	1.306 ± 0.167 a	1.440 ± 0.208 a	1.319 ± 0.146 a
Root: shoot ratio	0.359 ± 0.029 a	0.528 ± 0.029 b	0.460 ± 0.029 a	0.621 ± 0.024 b
Height	31.2 ± 1.8 a	59.9 ± 8.3 b	33.0 ± 1.3 a	45.0 ± 5.7 ab
<b>Productivity</b>				
Grain yield (seeds/plant)	53.20 ± 10.78 a	11.20 ± 1.16 b	44.20 ± 5.64 a	12.60 ± 2.77 b
Average 1-seed weight (g)	0.186 ± 0.018 a	0.139 ± 0.024 a	0.175 ± 0.008 a	0.158 ± 0.023 a
<b>Pigments</b>				
Chlorophyll (SPAD)	35.3 ± 0.9 a	31.2 ± 2.1 ab	29.2 ± 1.9 ab	26.0 ± 2.1 b
Chlorophyll a	0.98 ± 0.01 a	0.70 ± 0.04 bc	0.83 ± 0.05 b	0.64 ± 0.03 c
Chlorophyll b	0.39 ± 0.01 a	0.28 ± 0.02 b	0.33 ± 0.02 a	0.25 ± 0.01 b
Chlorophyll (a+b)	1.38 ± 0.02 a	0.94 ± 0.07 b	1.04 ± 0.13 ab	0.80 ± 0.10 b
Carotenoids	0.66 ± 0.01 a	0.46 ± 0.03 b	0.57 ± 0.02 a	0.45 ± 0.02 b
<b>Photosynthesis and gas exchange</b>				
Photosynthetic rate	9.28 ± 0.27 a	10.90 ± 0.38 b	10.40 ± 0.73 ab	10.54 ± 0.18 ab
Intercellular CO <sub>2</sub>	253.3 ± 8.8 a	542.0 ± 42.4 b	259.8 ± 18.9 a	558.2 ± 42.3 b
$V_{\text{cmax}}$	63.05 ± 1.28 a	38.50 ± 2.41 b	25.57 ± 1.18 c	25.90 ± 1.21 c
$J_{\text{max}}$	91.49 ± 1.50 a	85.60 ± 0.38 b	51.79 ± 0.25 c	62.68 ± 0.61 d
$A_{\text{max}}$	15.00 ± 0.26 a	15.04 ± 0.27 a	8.40 ± 0.28 b	10.74 ± 0.44 c
Stomatal conductance	0.132 ± 0.004 a	0.060 ± 0.011 b	0.126 ± 0.015 a	0.064 ± 0.011 b
Transpiration rate	2.47 ± 0.07 a	1.31 ± 0.20 b	2.92 ± 0.41 a	1.60 ± 0.34 b
Intrinsic Water Use Efficiency	78.3 ± 4.1 a	172.6 ± 19.7 b	78.8 ± 8.1 a	193.5 ± 11.3 b

The results represent the mean of five biological replicates ±SE. Different letters in a row indicates significant differences using Tukey test ( $p < 0.05$ )

exposed to  $e\text{CO}_2$  for both Fe treatments ( $\text{Fe}\pm/e\text{CO}_2$ ) (Table 2).

The grain yield of the plants grown under  $e\text{CO}_2$  was significantly lower under both Fe conditions: 79% lower in the plants growing under Fe sufficiency and 71.5% lower in the plants growing under Fe deficiency. However, the average single seed weight did not significantly differ among the plants of any of the treatments (Table 2).

#### Photosynthetic pigments and gas exchange

The levels of chl b and carotenoids were significantly lower in plants grown under  $e\text{CO}_2$  regardless of the Fe supply, whereas the photosynthetic rate was significantly higher in the  $\text{Fe+}/e\text{CO}_2$  plants (Table 2).

The intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  was higher in plants exposed to  $e\text{CO}_2$  regardless of Fe supply, but control plants ( $\text{Fe+}/a\text{CO}_2$ ) had the highest maximum carboxylation ( $V_{\text{cmax}} = 63.05 \pm 1.28 \text{ mmol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and phosphorylation rates ( $J_{\text{max}} = 91.49 \pm 1.50 \text{ mmol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) (Table 2). Fe deficient plants had the lowest rates, with these plants presenting 60% lower carboxylation rates and 44% ( $\text{Fe-}/a\text{CO}_2$ ) and 31% ( $\text{Fe-}/e\text{CO}_2$ ) lower phosphorylation rates compared with control plants ( $\text{Fe+}/a\text{CO}_2$ ). Nevertheless, in the plants exposed to  $e\text{CO}_2$  ( $\text{Fe+}/e\text{CO}_2$ ), such decreases were slightly attenuated, with  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  decreasing by 44% and  $J_{\text{max}}$  by less than 10% compared to control plants ( $\text{Fe+}/a\text{CO}_2$ ). The maximum  $\text{CO}_2$ -saturated photosynthetic rate was significantly lower in the plants growing under limited Fe supply, with the lowest rate measured in the plants growing at  $a\text{CO}_2$  (45% lower compared to  $\text{Fe+}/a\text{CO}_2$  plants). The stomatal conductance was 55% lower in the plants exposed to  $e\text{CO}_2$  regardless of Fe supply ( $\text{Fe}\pm/e\text{CO}_2$ ) compared to control plants ( $\text{Fe+}/a\text{CO}_2$ ). Accordingly, the transpiration rate of the plants exposed to  $e\text{CO}_2$  was 50% lower, and the intrinsic water use efficiency was 50% higher (Table 2).

#### Ferric chelate reductase

Control plants had the lowest ferric chelate reductase activity, whereas the plants exposed to both perturbations combined ( $\text{Fe-}/e\text{CO}_2$ ) had the highest. In these plants, FCR activity was 15-fold higher than in control plants, but in the plants exposed to just a single stress ( $\text{Fe+}/e\text{CO}_2$  or  $\text{Fe-}/a\text{CO}_2$ ), FCR activity was


almost similar, being about 6-fold higher than in  $\text{Fe+}/a\text{CO}_2$  plants (Fig. 1).

#### Stem internal pH


Plants grown under Fe sufficiency had lower internal pH, particularly  $\text{Fe+}/a\text{CO}_2$  plants, which showed the lowest internal pH, as shown by the significant yellowing of the medium surrounding these samples (Fig. 2a, b). Plants grown under Fe restriction regardless of  $\text{CO}_2$  condition showed higher internal pH, as shown by the dark purple halo surrounding these samples (Fig. 2a–e). Under  $e\text{CO}_2$ , the differences in internal pH resulting from the different Fe supply regimes were slightly attenuated, with  $\text{Fe+}/e\text{CO}_2$  showing slightly higher internal pH (Fig. 2c) than  $\text{Fe+}/a\text{CO}_2$  plants and  $\text{Fe-}/e\text{CO}_2$  showing slightly lower pH compared to  $\text{Fe-}/a\text{CO}_2$  plants (Fig. 2).

#### Organic acids and sugars

In the leaves, the levels of organic acids were generally higher under  $e\text{CO}_2$  at both Fe supply regimes ( $\text{Fe}\pm/e\text{CO}_2$ ). In contrast, Fe restriction at both  $\text{CO}_2$  conditions showed no significant effect, except for oxalic acid, whose levels were significantly higher (40%) in  $\text{Fe+}/e\text{CO}_2$  plants compared to  $\text{Fe-}/e\text{CO}_2$  plants (Fig. 3).




**Fig. 1** Ferric chelate reductase activity in the roots of common bean plants grown under ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  (400 ppm  $\text{CO}_2$ ) or elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  (800 ppm  $\text{CO}_2$ ) and at 0 (-Fe) or 20  $\mu\text{M}$  Fe-EDDHA (+Fe). The results represent the mean of five biological replicates  $\pm$  SE. Different letters above the vertical bars represent significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ )



**Fig. 2** Stem internal pH of plants grown at Fe sufficiency (Fe+) or Fe deficiency (Fe-) and under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>). Sections of the stem of one plant from each condition (a) and sections of the stems of

three individual plants from each condition (b, c, d and e) were incubated at room temperature for 24 hours. The yellow colour surrounding the stem indicates an acidic pH and the darker purple colour indicates alkaline pH

**Fig. 3** Concentrations of citric acid (a), malic acid (b), succinic acid (c) and oxalic acid (d) in the leaves and roots of common bean plants grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) and at 0 (-Fe) or 20 μM Fe-EDDHA (+Fe). The results represent the mean of five biological replicates ± SE. Different letters above the vertical bars represent significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ )



In the roots, organic acid concentrations varied among plants grown under different conditions. The levels of citric acid significantly increased in plants exposed to both factors (Fe-/eCO<sub>2</sub>) compared with

Fe+/eCO<sub>2</sub> plants; and the levels of malic acid were significantly lower in the plants exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe±/eCO<sub>2</sub>) compared to Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub> plants (Fig. 3a, b). Regarding succinic acid, Fe-/eCO<sub>2</sub> plants had the



highest levels, which were significantly higher (25%) than Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub> plants (Fig. 3c). Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub> plants had the highest levels of oxalic acid. In contrast, Fe+/eCO<sub>2</sub> had the lowest levels (Fig. 3d).

Regarding leaf sugars, sucrose levels were not significantly different among the plants of all treatments. However, the levels of glucose and fructose tended to be higher in the Fe+/eCO<sub>2</sub> plants (Fig. 4). Under eCO<sub>2</sub>, Fe restriction significantly decreased glucose levels (Fig. 4b). However, fructose levels were not affected by Fe restriction when plants were grown under eCO<sub>2</sub>. Still, fructose levels were the lowest in Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub> plants, significantly lower than in the Fe+/eCO<sub>2</sub> plants (Fig. 4c).


At the root level, Fe+/eCO<sub>2</sub> plants showed the lowest sucrose, glucose, and fructose levels. In contrast, Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub> plants showed the highest concentrations of these sugars (Fig. 4). Fe deficiency decreased the sucrose levels in the plants growing at aCO<sub>2</sub> but not at eCO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 4a).

#### Protein and minerals

The grain protein concentrations were significantly higher under eCO<sub>2</sub> but were not affected by Fe supply (Fig. 5). The protein levels in the grains of plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> were about 30% higher than those grown under aCO<sub>2</sub>.


The Fe concentration was affected by eCO<sub>2</sub> and limited Fe supply in all plant parts, decreasing Fe in plant roots and leaves. Exposure to eCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe±/eCO<sub>2</sub>) determined a decrease of 62% in root Fe and 38% in leaf Fe, whereas Fe restriction decreased by 45% in root Fe and 67% in leaf Fe. Fe concentration in plant seeds was only dependent on the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels, with seeds of plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> presenting Fe losses of more than 50% (from 66.0±4.8 to 32.6±2.76 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> DW in Fe sufficient plants and from 61.82±5.2 to 26±7.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> DW in Fe deficient plants) (Fig. 6).

The seeds of plants exposed to the combined factors (Fe-/eCO<sub>2</sub>) had 20-30% higher Zn concentration compared with the other conditions (Fig. 6). In the roots, Zn concentration was significantly higher in Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub> plants than in the other conditions, which consistently showed about 50% lower concentration. In the leaves, the exposure to eCO<sub>2</sub> tended to benefit



**Fig. 4** Concentrations of glucose (a), sucrose (b) and fructose (c) in the leaves and roots of common bean plants grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) and at 0 (-Fe) or 20 μM Fe-EDDHA (+Fe). The results represent the mean of five biological replicates ± SE. Different letters above the vertical bars represent significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ )

Zn accumulation with plants grown under Fe sufficiency at eCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe+/eCO<sub>2</sub>) showing significantly higher concentrations than at aCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub>).



**Fig. 5** Concentration of protein in the grains of common bean plants grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) and at 0 (-Fe) or 20 μM Fe-EDDHA (+Fe). The results represent the mean of five biological replicates ± SE. Different letters above the vertical bars represent significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ )

The levels of Mg and K in the roots and leaves were not affected by Fe restriction under both CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, but eCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe±/eCO<sub>2</sub>) induced a higher accumulation of these minerals, particularly at the leaf level where the increases were significant (Fig. 6c, f). In the seeds, there were no significant changes.

The concentration of Mn was only significantly different in the roots, being 73% lower in the plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe±/eCO<sub>2</sub>) and 54% lower in the Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub> plants when compared to control plants (Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub>). The latter had  $37.9 \pm 4.4$  mg Mn kg<sup>-1</sup> root DW (Fig. 6d). In the roots and leaves, the calcium (Ca) concentration was not significantly different amongst the plants of all conditions. However, in the seeds, exposure to eCO<sub>2</sub> led to an increased accumulation of Ca, regardless of Fe supply. Under Fe sufficiency, seed Ca levels were 1.5-fold higher at eCO<sub>2</sub> than at aCO<sub>2</sub>; under Fe deficiency, it was 2.5-fold higher at eCO<sub>2</sub> than at aCO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 6e).

The phosphorous (P) concentration was similar in the roots of plants of all conditions, but in the leaves, significantly higher levels were found in the plants exposed to both factors. In the seeds, the P concentration was only dependent on atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. The P

concentration under eCO<sub>2</sub> was about 30% lower than under aCO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 6g).

### Gene expression

The *PvFerritin* gene was mainly expressed in the leaves, being highly downregulated in the plants exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub>, by 9-fold in the plants at Fe sufficiency and by 15-fold in the plants grown at Fe deficiency (Fig. 7a). Plants exposed to Fe restriction at aCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub>) also showed a down-regulation of this gene by 1.8-fold compared to control plants (Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub>).

*PvFRO1*, *PvIRT1*, and *PvHA2* expression were higher in the roots, and the transcriptional regulation of these genes regarding plant exposure to eCO<sub>2</sub> and Fe restriction followed the same pattern, with the three genes being co-expressed (Fig. 7b–d). In plants exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub> irrespective of the Fe supply, *PvFRO1* was downregulated by 4-fold, *PvIRT1* by 4.6-fold, and *PvHA2* by about 3-fold. In the plants only exposed to Fe restriction (Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub>), *PvFRO1*, *PvIRT1*, and *PvHA2* were down-regulated by about 2-fold (Fig. 7).

## Discussion

### Biomass, plant height and productivity

Plants grown under Fe sufficiency at eCO<sub>2</sub> showed the highest root biomass and height (Table 2). Previous studies have shown for several plant species, including common bean, that eCO<sub>2</sub> stimulates plant growth, mainly plant height (Ainsworth and Long 2005; Li et al. 2007), but also root development and biomass (Jin et al. 2009; Madhu 2013; Jauregui et al. 2015). Under increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, plants tend to produce more photosynthates which are then directed towards sink organs such as roots, leading to increased root biomass (Pritchard et al. 1999).

Comparatively to plants grown under Fe sufficiency and aCO<sub>2</sub>, the root:shoot ratio of plants only exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub> increased by about 25%, whereas in plants grown under Fe deficiency and exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub> it increased about 55% (Table 2).

In general, these results show that under optimal nutritional conditions, eCO<sub>2</sub> stimulates plant growth, whereas, under Fe deficiency, plant growth is slightly


**Fig. 6** Concentrations of (a) iron (Fe), (b) zinc (Zn), (c) magnesium (Mg), (d) manganese (Mn), (e) calcium (Ca), (f) potassium (K) and (g) phosphorus (P) in the root, leaves and seeds of common bean grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) and at 0 (-Fe) or 20 μM Fe-EDDHA (+Fe). The results represent the mean of five biological replicates ± SE. Different letters above the vertical bars represent significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ )


repressed (Table 2). Although these results suggest a stimulation of growth under eCO<sub>2</sub>, the grain yield of these plants was significantly lower (Table 2) which suggests that other processes may have initiated after flowering, leading to decreased productivity.

#### Chlorophyll, photosynthesis, and gas exchange

It is well known that Fe deficiency causes early leaf yellowing and necrosis in the youngest trifoliolate (Brown and Holmes 1955). There is a direct effect of eCO<sub>2</sub> on decreasing photosynthetic pigments accumulation and it was evident for chlorophyll b and carotenoids (Table 2). Plants growing under Fe restriction generally had lower chlorophyll levels than plants growing under Fe sufficiency (Table 2). Also, the photosynthetic rate of plants grown at Fe sufficiency when exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub> was significantly higher than when exposed to aCO<sub>2</sub>. This result shows that eCO<sub>2</sub> stimulates photosynthesis of common bean plants under optimal Fe conditions independently of repressing chlorophyll concentrations. Increased photosynthetic rates in C3 plants growing under eCO<sub>2</sub> have been previously reported (Makino and Mae 1999; Teng et al. 2006; Ainsworth and Rogers 2007; Jakobsen et al. 2016; Xu et al. 2016; Faralli et al. 2017; Ainsworth and Lemonnier 2018; Hovenden and Newton 2018; Vicente et al. 2018).

Under eCO<sub>2</sub>, the intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> was significantly higher than under aCO<sub>2</sub>. However, when comparing control plants (Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub>) with the plants of the other conditions, the maximum carboxylation rate ( $V_{\text{cmax}}$ ) decreased by 40% under eCO<sub>2</sub> and by 60% on Fe deficient plants whereas the maximum phosphorylation rate ( $J_{\text{max}}$ ) decreased by 10% under eCO<sub>2</sub> and by 30-45% on Fe limited plants (Table 2). Concerning Fe restriction, these results were expected, given the importance of Fe on plant metabolic functioning. Regarding eCO<sub>2</sub>, these results suggest the initiation of an acclimation process. The down-regulation of photosynthetic activity under





**Fig. 7** Relative gene expression of *Ferritin* (*PvFerritin*) (a), *Ferric chelate reductase 1-like* (*PvFRO1*) (b), *Iron transporter 1-like* (*PvIRT1*) (c) and *H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase 2-like* (*PvHA2*) (d) in the leaf and root of common bean plants grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>)


and at 0 (-Fe) or 20 μM Fe-EDDHA (+Fe). The results represent the mean of five biological replicates ± SE. Different letters above the vertical bars represent significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ )

eCO<sub>2</sub> is characterised by: i) decreased chlorophyll content, as observed in these plants (Table 2), ii) decreased content and activity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RubisCO) and these plants had lower  $V_{\text{cmax}}$ , and iii) limitations in ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) and P regeneration (Urban 2003; Kant et al. 2012), which is supported by the lower  $J_{\text{max}}$  measured in these plants compared to control plants (Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub>) (Table 2). This acclimation process would explain the lower grain yield of the plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub>. Plants grown under Fe deficiency had lower  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  than control plants (Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub>), and the maximum CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated photosynthetic rate ( $A_{\text{max}}$ ) decreased by 15-30%. Under Fe deficiency, for both CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, the chlorophyll levels were lower. FCR activity was higher, particularly at eCO<sub>2</sub>, and these two factors

may have determined the lower carboxylation, phosphorylation, and assimilation rates observed in these plants compared to control plants (Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub>). Interestingly, when combined with restricted Fe supply, an interactive effect was observed, leading to slightly higher  $J_{\text{max}}$  and  $A_{\text{max}}$  values, suggesting that eCO<sub>2</sub> attenuates the effect of Fe deficiency in these parameters.

Ferric chelate reductase activity and iron transporters

Common bean is a Strategy I plant, i.e., it first reduces Fe from the ferric (Fe<sup>3+</sup>) to ferrous (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) form before its uptake by an Fe (II) transporter (IRT1) (Morrissey and Guerinot 2009). Plants exposed to the combination of both factors (Fe-/eCO<sub>2</sub>) had the highest root FCR activity, showing almost 15-fold



**Fig. 8** Heatmap resulting from the hierarchical clustering analysis of samples from roots, leaves and seeds of plants grown under Fe sufficiency (Fe+) or Fe deficiency (Fe-) com-

bined with ambient (400 ppm) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (800 ppm) concentrations, as a function of the analyzed variables

higher activity than control plants (Fe+/aCO<sub>2</sub>). Fe-/eCO<sub>2</sub> plants had about twice the activity measured in the plants exposed to just a single factor (Fe+/eCO<sub>2</sub> and Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub> plants) (Fig. 1). These results show that Fe deficiency and eCO<sub>2</sub> act synergistically on the stimulation of FCR activity. Fe deficiency usually stimulates FCR activity in many plant species to increase plants' ability to capture Fe from the nutrient solution (Susin et al. 1996; Robinson et al. 1999; López-Millán et al. 2009; Santos et al. 2016; Vasconcelos et al. 2017). FCR activity has been shown to be stimulated in tomato plants grown at Fe deficiency alone and further when Fe deficiency was combined with eCO<sub>2</sub>. However, eCO<sub>2</sub> individually had no effect on FCR activity of tomato plants (Jin et al. 2009). The results of our study show, for the first time, that eCO<sub>2</sub> directly affects FCR activity regardless of the Fe condition and that there is a cumulative effect of both factors affecting the

functioning of FCR enzyme, which may affect Fe uptake. The prolonged exposure to eCO<sub>2</sub> may have led to excessive cation uptake by the root with subsequent stem internal alkalisation (Figs. 2a, d, e and 8). We hypothesize that when plants were exposed to Fe limitation and eCO<sub>2</sub>, proton extrusion and organic acid exudation increased, favouring FCR activity. According to our results the concentrations of citric, malic and succinic acids in the roots of the plants exposed to Fe limitation and eCO<sub>2</sub> tended to be higher than in the plants of the other conditions. In addition, the expression of *PvHA2* followed the same pattern as *PvIRT1* and *PvFRO1*, they were significantly downregulated in the roots of these plants (Fig. 7 and 8), supporting a link to changes in pH (Fig. 2). Fe uptake across the plasma membrane requires a sharp pH gradient (Santi and Schmidt 2009) and the co-expression of *PvHA2* with these enzymes contributes to an efficient Fe uptake.

## Organic acids and pH gradients

Organic acids concentrations in the leaves of plants grown under  $e\text{CO}_2$  ( $\text{Fe}\pm/e\text{CO}_2$ ) were generally higher, whereas under Fe deficiency ( $\text{Fe-}/a\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{Fe-}/e\text{CO}_2$ ), except for oxalic acid, tended to be lower (Fig. 3). Alkalinisation was slightly mitigated in Fe deficient plants under  $e\text{CO}_2$ , probably due to the increased organic acids formation in the roots of these plants (Figs. 3 and 8). Fe deficiency increased stem internal pH and  $e\text{CO}_2$  seemed to have an attenuating effect (Fig. 2). Under Fe restriction Fe uptake mechanisms of common bean is highly dependent on external pH. An acidification of the nutrient solution is required to increase Fe solubility and subsequent uptake. In contrast to the observed in plants grown under Fe restrictions, in Fe-sufficient plants,  $e\text{CO}_2$  did not enhance plant acidification capacity, slightly increasing the stem pH, probably due to the lower levels of organic acids in the roots of these plants compared to control plants ( $\text{Fe+}/a\text{CO}_2$ ).

The combination of both factors (Fe deficiency and  $e\text{CO}_2$ ) did not determine significant differences in leaves. However, citric, succinic, and malic acid levels in roots tended to be higher in these plants (Fig. 3). Under  $e\text{CO}_2$  carboxylation is generally induced, whereas photorespiration is suppressed (Long et al. 2004), with levels of organic acid at the leaf level tending to increase. Organic acids are the transitory or stored forms of fixed carbon which can either be converted back to carbohydrates or further oxidized forming  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Under  $e\text{CO}_2$ , as organic acid concentrations increase in leaves and as translocation to sink organs occurs, the plant's cytosolic pH decreases, favouring FCR activity and proton extrusion, inducing cation uptake. To counteract the excessive cation uptake induced by the external medium's low pH, the plant produces oxaloacetate (White 2012). The activation of this pathway has been previously reported to occur in some plant species exposed to Fe limitation (Abadía et al. 2002; Rombolà et al. 2005; López-Millán et al. 2009) and under  $e\text{CO}_2$  this mechanisms may also be induced which may explain the highest levels of oxalic acid in the leaves of  $\text{Fe+}/e\text{CO}_2$  plants (Fig. 3d). Oxaloacetate may also convert to malate and other organic acid intermediates, exported to the leaf, or exuded to the external medium. It may explain the higher concentrations of

organic acids in the leaves and decreased levels in the roots of Fe-sufficient plants grown under  $e\text{CO}_2$ .

At  $a\text{CO}_2$  the organic acids concentrations were not affected by Fe limitation. The effect of Fe deficiency was apparent only on the increase of root citrate and decreased leaf oxalate of plants exposed to  $e\text{CO}_2$  (Fig. 3a). Fe deficiency may have induced the biosynthesis and export of citric acid to the root in order to increase Fe uptake. Within root cells, metal cations like Fe are chelated with organic acids, particularly citrate, and distributed to other plant organs, thus contributing to increased nutrient uptake (Susin et al. 1996; Abadía et al. 2002; López-Millán et al. 2009; Dong et al. 2021).

## Sugars

In our study, leaf sucrose levels were not significantly different among the plants of all conditions. However, in the roots, sucrose levels were significantly lower in  $\text{Fe+}/e\text{CO}_2$  plants than in  $\text{Fe+}/a\text{CO}_2$  plants (Fig. 4a). Considering the higher root biomass of the plants growing under  $\text{Fe+}/e\text{CO}_2$  it indicates that sugars are being used for root biosynthesis, but sucrose produced during photosynthesis may be also translocated to the roots, being stored or used as a substrate (Cakmak et al. 1994; Rombolà et al. 2005). Another hypothesis is that as the acclimation process initiates, a decreasing accumulation of sugars in the roots occurs (Thompson et al. 2017).

The levels of glucose and fructose tended to be higher in the leaves of Fe sufficient plants at  $e\text{CO}_2$  than at  $a\text{CO}_2$  (Fig. 4b, c), supporting the highest photosynthetic rate (Table 2) and sink capacity of these plants until flowering. Studies on *Arabidopsis* also reported that plants growing under  $e\text{CO}_2$  tend to accumulate higher concentrations of leaf sugars (Jauregui et al. 2015, 2016; Noguchi et al. 2015).

## Grain protein

The Fe treatment had no effect on productivity (Table 2) and grain protein concentrations (Fig. 5), and this result follows previous studies, including for common bean plants (Shainberg et al. 2000). In contrast, under  $e\text{CO}_2$ , the productivity was significantly lower and grain protein was significantly higher than in the plants grown under  $a\text{CO}_2$  (Fig. 5). Many

studies report that plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> produce grains with lower protein levels (Taub et al. 2008; Hampton et al. 2013; Myers et al. 2014; Chaturvedi et al. 2017; Córdoba et al. 2017; Dong et al. 2018; Li et al. 2018). Some studies showed that for legumes, eCO<sub>2</sub> has no impact on grain protein concentrations (Jablonski et al. 2002; Taub et al. 2008; Myers et al. 2014), and, more recently, Soares et al. (2019) reported an increase in grain protein concentrations in several common bean varieties.

Here we show that eCO<sub>2</sub> did not reduce grain protein concentration in common beans but highly decreased productivity. This result supports the hypothesis of the induction of an acclimation process in plants growing under eCO<sub>2</sub> after flowering, leading to decreased photosynthesis and decreased productivity. Due to the lower productivity, even with the purported lower leaf N allocation (Seneweera 2011; Thompson et al. 2022) this effect was not evident on grain protein concentration of plants growing under eCO<sub>2</sub> with the grains of these plants showing significantly higher protein content. This could suggest that the higher protein concentration is due to lower productivity, and a protein “concentration” effect.

#### Fe accumulation in the leaves, roots and seeds

Fe levels were significantly lower in the root, leaves, and seeds of plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub>, regardless of Fe supply (Fig. 6a).

Root Fe levels were higher in Fe sufficient plants at aCO<sub>2</sub> than in Fe deficient plants at aCO<sub>2</sub> (2-fold) and eCO<sub>2</sub> (12-fold) (Fig. 6a). Restricted Fe supply and eCO<sub>2</sub> individually are known to induce reduced accumulation of Fe in plants (Briat et al. 2006; Högy et al. 2009; Loladze 2014; Myers et al. 2014; Santos et al. 2015). However, few studies are available addressing these factors’ interactive effects on plant Fe uptake and accumulation. Jin et al. (2009) showed that the short-term exposure to eCO<sub>2</sub> (7 days) of tomato plants grown under Fe deficiency induces the accumulation of Fe levels in the root and shoot (Jin et al. 2009). Similar results were reported by Soares et al. (2022) regarding the short-term effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> in soybean plants grown under Fe deficiency. Our results highly suggest that the patterns of Fe accumulation differ among species. In bean plants growing under Fe deficiency, the exposure to

eCO<sub>2</sub> further exacerbates the low Fe concentrations in roots and shoots. However, the differences observed may also be related with the fact that in the other studies trace amounts of soluble Fe were available in the Fe-limited condition whereas in this study Fe was completely removed from the nutrient solution of the plants growing under Fe deficiency. Although Fe restriction led to evident decreased Fe accumulation in the plant roots and leaves and decreased expression of *PvFerritin* in the leaves, and *PvFRO1* and *PvIRT1* in the roots, this decrease did not reflect on Fe concentration in plant seeds, which were very similar under both Fe conditions (Figs. 6a and 8). The repression of FRO1 in soybean and IRT1 in common bean plants growing under Fe deficiency has been previously reported (Santos et al. 2016, 2020; Urwat et al. 2021). In contrast to Fe limitation, eCO<sub>2</sub> led to a significant decrease in seed Fe levels with the lower productivity being correlated with the lower Fe accumulation. In these plants, despite FCR activity was higher, *PvFerritin*, was down-regulated in the leaves and *PvFRO1* and *PvIRT1* were down-regulated in the roots (Fig. 7a). Ferritin is a primary Fe storage protein that plays a significant role in maintaining cellular Fe homeostasis (Van Wuytswinkel et al. 1999; Ravet et al. 2009; Grant-Grant et al. 2022) whereas FRO1 and IRT1 are important Fe transporters. Therefore, the low leaf *Pvferritin* expression and the 60% downregulated expression of *PvFRO1* and *PvIRT1* in the roots of plants exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub> compared to plants grown under Fe sufficiency and aCO<sub>2</sub>, may be related to the lower Fe concentrations in the seeds of these plants (Figs. 7a and 8). An acclimation process may have been initiated in eCO<sub>2</sub>, contributing to the repression of these genes and subsequent low Fe accumulation. However, the higher FCR activity of these plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> and Fe deficiency (Fig. 1) suggests that even with low gene expression, there was already a pool of ready-to-use enzymes for reducing Fe. These results clearly show that eCO<sub>2</sub> leads to decreased accumulation of Fe in all plant parts and Fe deficiency further exacerbates this effect in plant roots and leaves but not in seeds.

#### Other minerals

The accumulation pattern of seed P and Fe was similar (Fig. 6g), suggesting that seed P and Fe may be related. This correlation was reported before (Briat

et al. 1999; Panda et al. 2012) and seems to be related to the Fe uptake mechanism, which requires ATP and would turn the process less efficient under P limitation. The low P concentrations in the seeds may be the result of an acclimation process at eCO<sub>2</sub> leading to plant's inability to regenerate P (Urban 2003). Therefore, the low levels of P in the seeds of the plants exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub> may be an indirect result of this process.

In contrast, in plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub>, seed calcium (Ca) was significantly higher regardless of Fe supply (Fig. 6e). Ca is transported in the xylem as either Ca<sup>2+</sup> or complexed with organic acids. However, its mobility in the plant is low, with very limited Ca remobilization from leaves to phloem-fed tissues, such as fruits, seeds, and tubers (White and Broadley 2003). Therefore, it raises the hypothesis of Ca uptake directly to the seed via xylem when plants are grown under eCO<sub>2</sub>. Increased Ca uptake in rice and increased concentrations of Ca in cucumber fruit resulting from plant growth under eCO<sub>2</sub> have been reported (Seneweera 2011; Dong et al. 2018).

In the seeds it was also possible to observe an effect of the Fe restriction and eCO<sub>2</sub> leading to increased accumulation of Zn (Fig. 6b). Fe restriction can favour Zn accumulation (Cohen et al. 1998; Kanai et al. 2009). The highest Zn levels in the Fe-limited plants (Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub>) may be related with the highest internal pH of these plants (Fig. 2) since Zn is mainly taken up as Zn<sup>2+</sup> at high pH (Broadley et al. 2012) or due to the upregulation of the expression of Zn transporter genes which has been previously reported to occur in plants growing under Fe deficiency (Xie et al. 2019). However, eCO<sub>2</sub> can reduce the accumulation of this micronutrient (Loladze 2014; Myers et al. 2014). This result is of interest and clearly shows that there is an interaction of Fe restriction and eCO<sub>2</sub> contributing to increased accumulation of Zn in the grains of these plants.

In the leaves, eCO<sub>2</sub> increased Zn, Mg, and K levels and, to a lesser extent Ca (Fig. 6). eCO<sub>2</sub> can increase Mg, K, and Ca uptake in rice plants (Seneweera 2011), but a meta-analysis has shown decreased levels of these minerals in foliar tissues of plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> (Loladze 2014). K is involved in translocation, stomatal regulation, and carbon fixation (Cakmak et al. 1994; Hawkesford

et al. 2012). An increase in leaf K may indicate an imbalance of these mechanisms in the plants exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub>, since eCO<sub>2</sub> can also promote K uptake, for example, in rice (Jena et al. 2018). However, variations in K are not always consistent since they seem to decrease in soybean leaves (Singh et al. 2017), and in bamboo plants, it is species-dependent (Zhuang et al. 2018).


Proposed mechanism of eCO<sub>2</sub> and Fe restriction action on Fe uptake (Figs. 8 and 9)

Plant exposure to eCO<sub>2</sub> during growth impacted carbon fixation and Fe uptake mechanisms. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> induced carboxylation and increased production of organic acids and sugars in plant leaves. Sugars were then probably transported to sink organs (e.g., roots), used for biomass biosynthesis, stored as starch, or metabolized. The lowering of cytoplasmic pH due to increased production of organic acids may have prompted organic acid exudation at the root level, creating a pH gradient to increase nutrient uptake. Thus, as organic acids exuded, the pH of the nutrient solution decreased, and Fe became more soluble for subsequent uptake. In addition, the acidification of the external medium may have stimulated FCR activity, which may have contributed to an increased Fe uptake. However, in this process, the cytoplasmic pH highly increased, and to counteract the excessive cation uptake, plants may have produced oxaloacetate, which can be converted to other organic acids. These newly formed organic compounds lead to slight cytoplasmic acidification, balancing internal pH. In addition, under eCO<sub>2</sub>, stomatal conductance decreased with a consequent decrease in transpiration rates and Fe uptake through the xylem. This CO<sub>2</sub> effect may have contributed to decreased Fe accumulation in the plants exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub>.

Under Fe restriction and eCO<sub>2</sub>, plants may have activated two pathways to enhance Fe uptake: i) organic acids exudation and ii) proton extrusion. The activation of these mechanisms doubled FCR activity compared with the plants exposed to just a single factor (Fe-/aCO<sub>2</sub> and Fe+/eCO<sub>2</sub> plants). However, these plants showed the lowest Fe accumulation in plant roots. Under eCO<sub>2</sub>, plants exude organic acids decreasing cytoplasmic root pH, which may lead to a reduction of membrane pH gradient, which is necessary for Fe uptake. Besides, during the week



**Fig. 9** Schematic representation of the proposed combined effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) and Fe deficiency in common bean plants growing in hydroponics. Plants grew under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>) and eCO<sub>2</sub> for one week with complete solution (20 μM Fe-EDDHA) plus two weeks under Fe sufficiency and Fe deficiency (first sampling date – 21 days) being maintained in these conditions until complete seed filling. The blue arrows represent the effect of eCO<sub>2</sub>, the red arrows represent the effect of Fe deficiency, the green arrow the combination of both factors, and the red-grey arrows represent the hypothesised effect of eCO<sub>2</sub> acclimation process. The shaded arrows represent secondary mid-term effect of eCO<sub>2</sub>



before the first sampling (end of the third week), just before flowering, an acclimation to eCO<sub>2</sub> may have started, possibly extending up to the end of the seed filling stage. Thus, as the acclimation process begins, it represses photosynthesis, induces decarboxylation, and represses phosphorylation leading to decreased grain yield.

Despite the high FCR activity, the absence of Fe in the nutrient solution and the repression of the

expression of Fe transporters limited its transport. These factors may explain the lowest Fe levels in grains of plants subjected to eCO<sub>2</sub> and Fe limitation. Consequently, the plant energy status decreases, and thereby Fe uptake is inhibited, and Pi is not regenerated. Fe restriction exacerbates this effect since, at this stage, there is no Fe in the nutrient solution for uptake. As a result, the expression of proteins involved in Fe uptake was repressed.

## Conclusion

Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> highly affects common bean plant metabolism, increasing root FCR activity and highly downregulating root *FRO1* and *IRT1*, and leaf *ferritin* genes expression. In the leaves, eCO<sub>2</sub> increased citric and oxalic acids, whereas sucrose and glucose tended to be lower in the roots. Such molecular and metabolic changes under eCO<sub>2</sub> may have determined the: i) lower levels of Fe and Mn in the roots, ii) lower Fe and higher Zn, Mg, and K levels in the leaves, and iii) the lower P and Fe and higher Ca and protein levels in the seeds (Fig. 8) of the plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> compared with the levels found in the plants grown under aCO<sub>2</sub> (Fe<sub>±</sub>/aCO<sub>2</sub>).

When both factors were combined, an interactive effect led to the highest root FCR activity and lowest root, leaf, and grain Fe concentrations. At the seed level, the differences found in mineral concentrations were mainly correlated with eCO<sub>2</sub> and not Fe restriction nor the combined effect of both factors. An exception was the increased Zn levels in the grains of plants exposed to both factors. These results suggest that the bean cultivar used in this study is CO<sub>2</sub>-responsive and confirm its tolerance to restricted Fe supply.

The results of this study show that eCO<sub>2</sub> reduces Fe accumulation in all plant parts, including the grains. Although an essential interaction of eCO<sub>2</sub> and Fe deficiency leads to a sharp up-regulation of FCR activity, this regulation was insufficient to ensure an efficient Fe uptake, with plants exposed to eCO<sub>2</sub> having lower Fe levels.

**Author contributions** All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were conducted by Teresa Deuchande. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Teresa Deuchande and both authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by FCTIFCCN (b-on). This work was supported by National Funds from FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia through project UIDB/50016/2020 and by FCT project PTDC/AGR-PRO/3972/2014; and the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme through the project "Realising Dynamic Value Chains for Underutilised Crops" (RADIANT), Grant Agreement number 101000622.

**Data availability** The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author, Teresa Deuchande, upon reasonable request.

## Declarations

**Competing interests** The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

- Abadía J, López-Millán A-F, Rombolà A, Abadía A (2002) Organic acids and Fe deficiency: a review. *Plant Soil* 241:75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016093317898>
- Ainsworth EA, Lemonnier P (2018) Phloem function: a key to understanding and manipulating plant responses to rising atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>]? *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 43:50–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.12.003>
- Ainsworth EA, Long SP (2005) What have we learned from 15 years of free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the response of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO<sub>2</sub>. *New Phytol* 179:5. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01224.x>
- Ainsworth EA, Rogers A (2007) The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO<sub>2</sub>]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant Cell Environ* 30:258–270. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01641.x>
- Beach RH, Sulser TB, Crimmins A et al (2019) Combining the effects of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide on protein, iron, and zinc availability and projected climate change on global diets: a modelling study. *Lancet Planet Heal* 3:e307–e317. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(19\)30094-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(19)30094-4)
- Beebe S, Gonzalez AV, Rengifo J (2000) Research on trace minerals in the common bean. *Food Nutr Bull* 21:387–391. <https://doi.org/10.1177/156482650002100408>

- Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA et al (2008) Maternal and child undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. *Lancet* 371:243–260. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)61690-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61690-0)
- Blair MW, Knewton SJB, Astudillo C et al (2010) Variation and inheritance of iron reductase activity in the roots of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and association with seed iron accumulation QTL. *BMC Plant Biol* 10:215. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-10-215>
- Blair MW, Wu X, Bhandari D, Astudillo C (2016) Genetic dissection of ICP-detected nutrient accumulation in the whole seed of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Front Plant Sci* 7:219. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00219>
- Borges A, Tsai SM, Caldas DGG (2012) Validation of reference genes for RT-qPCR normalization in common bean during biotic and abiotic stresses. *Plant Cell Rep* 31:827–838. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-011-1204-x>
- Briat JF, Lebrun M (1999) Plant responses to metal toxicity. *Plant Biol Pathol* 32:43–54. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0764-4469\(99\)80016-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0764-4469(99)80016-x)
- Briat JF, Lobréaux S, Grignon N, Vansuyt G (1999) Regulation of plant ferritin synthesis: How and why. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 56:155–166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s000180050014>
- Briat JF, Cellier F, Gaymard F (2006) Ferritins and iron accumulation in plant tissues. *Iron Nutr Plants Rhizospheric Microorg* 341–357. <https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-4743-6-17>
- Broadley M, Brown P, Cakmak I, Rengel Z, Zhao F (2012) Function of nutrients: micronutrients. In: Marschner PBT (ed) *Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants*, 3rd edn. Academic Press, pp 191–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384905-2.00007-8>
- Brown J, Holmes R (1955) Iron, the limiting element in a chlorosis: part I. Availability and utilization of iron dependent upon nutrition and plant species. *Plant Physiol*:451–457. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.30.5.451>
- Cakmak I, Hengeler C, Marschner H (1994) Changes in phloem export of sucrose in leaves in response to phosphorus, potassium and magnesium deficiency in bean plants 45:1251–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/45.9.1251>
- Castro-Guerrero NA, Isidra-Arellano MC, Mendoza-Cozatl DG et al (2016) Common bean: a legume model on the rise for unraveling responses and adaptations to iron, zinc, and phosphate deficiencies. *Front Plant Sci* 7:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00600>
- Chaturvedi AK, Bahuguna RN, Pal M et al (2017) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and heat stress interactions affect grain yield, quality and mineral nutrient composition in rice under field conditions. *F Crop Res* 206:149–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.02.018>
- CO<sub>2</sub>-Earth (2022) Numbers for living on Earth. In: <https://www.co2.earth/monthly-co2>. Accessed 20 Sep 2022
- Cohen CK, Fox TC, Garvin DF, Kochian LV (1998) The role of iron-deficiency stress responses in stimulating heavy-metal transport in plants. *Plant Physiol* 116:1063–1072. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.116.3.1063>
- Córdoba J, Pérez P, Morcuende R et al (2017) Acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is improved by low Rubisco and carbohydrate content, and enhanced Rubisco transcripts in the G132 barley mutant. *Environ Exp Bot* 137:36–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2017.02.005>
- da Silva JR, Patterson AE, Rodrigues WP et al (2017) Photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> combined with partial rootzone drying results in improved water use efficiency, drought tolerance and leaf carbon balance of grapevines (*Vitis labrusca*). *Environ Exp Bot* 134:82–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2016.11.007>
- del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda M, Macías-Rodríguez LI, Santoyo G et al (2013) *Medicago truncatula* increases its iron-uptake mechanisms in response to volatile organic compounds produced by *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *Folia Microbiol* 58:579–585. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12223-013-0243-9>
- Deuchande T, Soares J, Nunes F et al (2021) Short term elevated CO<sub>2</sub> interacts with iron deficiency, further repressing growth, photosynthesis and mineral accumulation in soybean (*Glycine max* L.) and common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). <https://doi.org/10.3390/environments8110122>
- Dissanayaka DMSB, Rankoth LM, Gunathilaka WMND et al (2021) Utilizing food legumes to achieve iron and zinc nutritional security under changing climate. *J Crop Improv* 35:700–721. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15427528.2021.1872754>
- Dong J, Xu Q, Gruda N et al (2018) Elevated and super-elevated CO<sub>2</sub> differ in their interactive effects with nitrogen availability on fruit yield and quality of cucumber. *J Sci Food Agric* 98:4509–4516. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8976>
- Dong J, Hunt J, Delhaize E et al (2021) Impacts of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plant resistance to nutrient deficiency and toxic ions via root exudates: a review. *Sci Total Environ* 754:142434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142434>
- Faralli M, Grove IG, Hare MC et al (2017) Rising CO<sub>2</sub> from historical concentrations enhances the physiological performance of *Brassica napus* seedlings under optimal water supply but not under reduced water availability. *Plant Cell Environ* 40:317–325. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12868>
- Franks PJ (2013) Sensitivity of plants to changing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration: from the geological past to the next century. *New Phytol* 197:1077–1094. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12104>
- Gama F, Saavedra T, da Silva JP et al (2016) The memory of iron stress in strawberry plants. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 104:36–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.03.019>
- Goto F, Yoshihara T, Masuda T, Takaiwa F (2013) Genetic improvement of iron content and stress adaptation in plants using ferritin gene. *Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev* 18:351–371. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02648725.2001.10648019>
- Grant-Grant S, Schaffhauser M, Baeza-Gonzalez P et al (2022) B3 transcription factors determine iron distribution and *FERRITIN* gene expression in embryo but do not control total seed iron content. *Front Plant Sci* 13:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.870078>
- Grusak M, Welch R, Kochian L (1990) Physiological characterization of a single-gene mutant of *Pisum sativum* exhibiting excess iron accumulation. I root iron reduction and iron uptake. *Plant Physiol* 93:976–981. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.93.3.976>

- Hampton JG, Boelt B, Rolston MP, Chastain TG (2013) Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on seed quality. *J Agric Sci* 151:154–162. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859612000263>
- Hawkesford M, Horst W, Kichey T et al (2012) Functions of macronutrients. In: Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Elsevier, pp. 135–189
- Högy P, Wieser H, Köhler P et al (2009) Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on grain yield and quality of wheat: results from a 3-year free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment experiment. *Plant Biol* 11:60–69. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.2009.00230.x>
- Hovenden M, Newton P (2018) Plant responses to CO<sub>2</sub> are a question of time. *Science* 360:263–264. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat2481>
- Igamberdiev AU, Eprintsev AT (2016) Organic acids: the pools of fixed carbon involved in redox regulation and energy balance in higher plants. *Front Plant Sci* 7:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01042>
- Jablonski LM, Wang X, Curtis PS (2002) Plant reproduction under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions: a meta-analysis of reports on 79 crop and wild species. *New Phytol* 156:9–26. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00494.x>
- Jakobsen I, Smith SE, Smith FA et al (2016) Plant growth responses to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> are increased by phosphorus sufficiency but not by arbuscular mycorrhizas. *J Exp Bot* 67:6173–6186. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw383>
- Jauregui I, Aparicio-Tejo PM, Avila C et al (2015) Root and shoot performance of *Arabidopsis thaliana* exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: a physiologic, metabolic and transcriptomic response. *J Plant Physiol* 189:65–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2015.09.012>
- Jauregui I, Aparicio-Tejo PM, Avila C et al (2016) Root-shoot interactions explain the reduction of leaf mineral content in *Arabidopsis* plants grown under elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] conditions. *Physiol Plant* 65–79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pp.12417>
- Jena UR, Swain DK, Hazra KK, Maiti MK (2018) Effect of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] on yield, intra-plant nutrient dynamics, and grain quality of rice cultivars in eastern India. *J Sci Food Agric*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9135>
- Jin CW, Du ST, Chen WW et al (2009) Elevated carbon dioxide improves plant iron nutrition through enhancing the iron-deficiency-induced responses under iron-limited conditions in tomato. *Plant Physiol* 150:272–280. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.136721>
- Jin J, Tang C, Sale P (2015) The impact of elevated carbon dioxide on the phosphorus nutrition of plants: a review. *Ann Bot* 116:987–999. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcv088>
- Kanai M, Hirai M, Yoshida M et al (2009) Iron deficiency causes zinc excess in *Zea mays*. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 55:271–276. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0765.2008.00350.x>
- Kant S, Seneweera S, Rodin J et al (2012) Improving yield potential in crops under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: integrating the photosynthetic and nitrogen utilization efficiencies. *Front Plant Sci* 3:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2012.00162>
- Kim SA, Guerinet ML (2007) Mining iron: Iron uptake and transport in plants. *FEBS Lett* 581:2273–2280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2007.04.043>
- Krouma A, Gharsalli M, Abdely C (2003) Differences in response to iron deficiency among some lines of common bean. *J Plant Nutr* 26:2295–2305. <https://doi.org/10.1081/PLN-120024282>
- Kumar U, Quick WP, Barrios M et al (2017) Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration effects on rice water use and biomass production. *PLoS One* 12:e0169706. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169706>
- Lambrevia M, Stoyanova-Koleva D, Baldjiev G, Tsonev T (2005) Early acclimation changes in the photosynthetic apparatus of bean plants during short-term exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration under high temperature and light intensity. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 106:219–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2004.10.010>
- Leakey ADB, Ainsworth EA, Bernacchi CJ et al (2009) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. *J Exp Bot* 60:2859–2876. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp096>
- Li J, Zhou J-M, Duan Z-Q (2007) Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on growth and water usage of tomato seedlings under different ammonium/nitrate ratios. *J Environ Sci (China)* 19:1100–1107. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742\(07\)60179-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60179-X)
- Li Y, Yu Z, Jin J et al (2018) Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on seed quality of soybean at the fresh edible and mature stages. *Front Plant Sci* 9:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01413>
- Liang G (2022) Iron uptake, signaling, and sensing in plants. *Plant Commun* 3:100349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2022.100349>
- Loladze I (2014) Hidden shift of the ionome of plants exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> depletes minerals at the base of human nutrition. *Elife* 3:e02245. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02245>
- Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Rogers A, Ort DR (2004) Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: plants FACE the future. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 55:591–628. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.55.031903.141610>
- López-Millán AF, Morales F, Gogorcena Y et al (2009) Metabolic responses in iron deficient tomato plants. *J Plant Physiol* 166:375–384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2008.06.011>
- Madhu M (2013) Dynamics of plant root growth under increased atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Agron J* 105:657–669. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2013.0018>
- Makino A, Mae T (1999) Photosynthesis and plant growth at elevated levels of CO<sub>2</sub>. *Plant Cell Physiol* 40:999–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a029493>
- Medek DE, Schwartz J, Myers SS (2017) Estimated effects of future atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on protein intake and the risk of protein deficiency by country and region. *Environ Health Perspect* 125:087002. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP41>
- Morrissey J, Guerinet ML (2009) Iron uptake and transport in plants: the good, the bad, and the ionome. *Chem Rev* 109:4553–4567. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900112r>
- Myers SS, Zanobetti A, Kloog I et al (2014) Increasing CO<sub>2</sub> threatens human nutrition. *Nature* 510:139–142. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13179>
- Niu Y, Ahammed GJ, Tang C et al (2016) Physiological and transcriptome responses to combinations of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and magnesium in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS One* 11:1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149301>

- Noguchi K, Watanabe CK, Terashima I (2015) Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on primary metabolite levels in *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 leaves: an examination of metabolome data. *Plant Cell Physiol* 56:2069–2078. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcv125>
- O'Sullivan JB, Plozza T, Stefanelli D et al (2021) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and phosphorus deficiency interactively enhance root exudation in *Lupinus albus* L. *Plant Soil* 465:229–243. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-04991-0>
- Panda BB, Sharma S, Mohapatra PK, Das A (2012) Application of excess nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium fertilizers leads to lowering of grain iron content in high-yielding tropical rice. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 43:2590–2602. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2012.716122>
- Pritchard SG, Rogers HH, Prior SA, Peterson CM (1999) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and plant structure: a review. *Glob Chang Biol* 5:807–837. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1999.00268.x>
- Ravet K, Touraine B, Boucherez J (2009) Ferritins control interaction between iron homeostasis and oxidative stress in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J* 57:400–412. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03698.x>
- Robinson NJ, Procter CM, Connolly EL, Lou GM (1999) A ferric-chelate reductase for iron uptake from soils. *Nature* 397:694. <https://doi.org/10.1038/17800>
- Rombolà AD, Gogorcena Y, Larbi A et al (2005) Iron deficiency-induced changes in carbon fixation and leaf elemental composition of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*) plants. *Plant Soil* 271:39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-004-2001-x>
- Römheld V, Marschner H (1986) Evidence for a specific uptake system for iron phytosiderophores in roots of grasses. *Plant Physiol* 80:175–180. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.80.1.175>
- Santi S, Schmidt W (2009) Dissecting iron deficiency-induced proton extrusion in *Arabidopsis* roots. *New Phytol* 183:1072–1084. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02908.x>
- Santos CS, Silva AI, Serrão I et al (2013) Transcriptomic analysis of iron deficiency related genes in the legumes. *Food Res Int* 54:1162–1171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.06.024>
- Santos CS, Roriz M, Carvalho SMP, Vasconcelos MW (2015) Iron partitioning at an early growth stage impacts iron deficiency responses in soybean plants (*Glycine max* L.). *Front Plant Sci* 6:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00325>
- Santos CS, Carvalho SMP, Leite A et al (2016) Effect of tris(3-hydroxy-4-pyridinonate) iron(III) complexes on iron uptake and storage in soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Plant Physiol Biochem* 106:91–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.04.050>
- Santos CS, Leite A, Vinhas S, Vasconcelos MW, Rangel M, Ferreira S, Moniz T (2020) A combined physiological and biophysical approach to understand the ligand-dependent efficiency of 3-hydroxy-4-pyridinone Fe-chelates. *Plant Direct* 00:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.256>
- Scripps UCSD (2022) Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (ppm) derived from in situ air measurements at Mauna Loa, observatory, Hawaii: latitude 19.5°N longitude 155.6°W elevation 3397m. In: Scripps CO<sub>2</sub> Progr. [http://scrippsco2.ucsd.edu/assets/data/atmospheric/stations/in\\_situ\\_co2/monthly/monthly\\_in\\_situ\\_co2\\_mlo.csv](http://scrippsco2.ucsd.edu/assets/data/atmospheric/stations/in_situ_co2/monthly/monthly_in_situ_co2_mlo.csv). Accessed 20 Sep 2022
- Seneweera S (2011) Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plant growth and nutrient partitioning of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) at rapid tillering and physiological maturity. *J Plant Interact* 6:35–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2010.513483>
- Shainberg O, Rubin B, Rabinowitch HD et al (2000) Acclimation of beans to oxidative stress by treatment with sublethal iron levels. *J Plant Physiol* 157:93–99. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617\(00\)80141-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(00)80141-8)
- Sims D, Gamon J (2002) Relationship between leaf pigment content and spectral reflectance across a wide range species, leaf structures and development stages. *Remote Sens Environ* 81:337–354. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(02\)00010-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00010-X)
- Singh SK, Reddy VR, Bell RW (2017) Potassium starvation limits soybean growth more than the photosynthetic processes across CO<sub>2</sub> levels. *Front Plant Sci* 8:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00991>
- Smith MR, Golden CD, Myers SS (2017) Potential rise in iron deficiency due to future anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions. *GeoHealth* 1:248–257. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gh000018>
- Soares J, Deuchande T, Valente LMP et al (2019) Growth and nutritional responses of bean and soybean genotypes to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in a controlled environment. *Plants* 8:2–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8110465>
- Soares JC, Pintado M, Vasconcelos MW (2022) Short-term exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> stimulates growth and metabolic responses that alleviate early-stage iron deficiency symptoms in soybean. *J Plant Interact* 17:50–59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2021.2011445>
- Susin S, Abadía A, Gonzalez-Reyes JA et al (1996) The pH requirement for in vivo activity of the iron-deficiency-induced “turbo” ferric chelate reductase. A comparison of the iron-deficiency-induced iron reductase activities of intact plants and isolated plasma membrane fractions in sugar beet. *Plant Physiol* 110:111–123. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.1.111>
- Taub DR, Miller B, Allen H (2008) Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the protein concentration of food crops: a meta-analysis. *Glob Chang Biol* 14:565–575. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01511.x>
- Tawarayama K, Horie R, Saito S et al (2014) Metabolite profiling of root exudates of common bean under phosphorus deficiency. *Metabolites* 4:599–611. <https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo4030599>
- Teng N, Wang J, Chen T et al (2006) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> induces physiological, biochemical and structural changes in leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytol* 172:92–103. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01818.x>
- Thompson M, Gamage D, Hirotsu N et al (2017) Effects of elevated carbon dioxide on photosynthesis and carbon partitioning: a perspective on root sugar sensing and hormonal crosstalk. *Front Physiol* 8:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00578>
- Thompson M, Okamoto M, Martin A, Seneweera S (2022) Grain protein concentration at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] is determined by genotype dependent variations in nitrogen

- remobilisation and nitrogen utilisation efficiency in wheat. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 192:120–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2022.10.003>
- Tian Q, Zhang X, Yang A et al (2016) CIPK23 is involved in iron acquisition of Arabidopsis by affecting ferric chelate reductase activity. *Plant Sci* 246:70–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.01.010>
- Urban O (2003) Physiological impacts of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ranging from molecular to whole plant responses. *Photosynthetica* 41:9–20. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025891825050>
- Urwat U, Ahmad SM, Masi A, Ganai NA, Murtaza I, Khan I, Zargar SM (2021) Fe and Zn stress induced gene expression analysis unraveled mechanisms of mineral homeostasis in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Sci Rep* 11(1):1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03506-2>
- Van Wuytswinkel O, Vansuyt G, Grignon N et al (1999) Iron homeostasis alteration in transgenic tobacco overexpressing ferritin. *Plant J* 17:93–97. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.1999.00349.x>
- Vasconcelos MW, Grusak MA (2014) Morpho-physiological parameters affecting iron deficiency chlorosis in soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Plant Soil* 374:161–172. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1842-6>
- Vasconcelos MW, Clemente TE, Grusak MA (2014) Evaluation of constitutive iron reductase (AtFRO2) expression on mineral accumulation and distribution in soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Front Plant Sci* 5:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00112>
- Vasconcelos MW, Gruissem W, Bhullar NK (2017) Iron bio-fortification in the 21<sup>st</sup> century: setting realistic targets, overcoming obstacles, and new strategies for healthy nutrition. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 44:8–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2016.10.001>
- Vicente R, Martínez-Carrasco R, Pérez P, Morcuende R (2018) New insights into the impacts of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen, and temperature levels on the regulation of C and N metabolism in durum wheat using network analysis. *New Biotechnol* 40:192–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2017.08.003>
- White PJ (2012) Ion uptake mechanisms of individual cells and roots: short-distance transport. In: Marschner P (ed) Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants, 3rd edn. Elsevier, pp 7–48
- White PJ, Broadley MR (2003) Calcium in plants. *Ann Bot* 92:487–511. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcg164>
- Xie X, Hu W, Fan X, Chen H, Tang M (2019) Interactions between phosphorus, zinc, and Iron homeostasis in nonmycorrhizal and mycorrhizal plants. *Front Plant Sci* 10:1172. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01172>
- Xu Z, Jiang Y, Jia B, Zhou G (2016) Elevated-CO<sub>2</sub> response of stomata and its dependence on environmental factors. *Front Plant Sci* 7:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00657>
- Yi Y, Saleeba JA, Guerinot ML (1994) Iron uptake in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. In: Manthey J, Luster D, Crowley DE (eds) Biochemistry of Metal Micronutrients in the Rhizosphere. Lewis Publishers, Inc, Chelsea, pp 295–307
- Yilmaz O, Kahraman K, Ozturk L (2017) Elevated carbon dioxide exacerbates adverse effects of mg deficiency in durum wheat. *Plant Soil* 410:41–50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2979-x>
- Zhao Q, Ren YR, Wang QJ et al (2016) Overexpression of MdbHLH104 gene enhances the tolerance to iron deficiency in apple. *Plant Biotechnol J* 14:1633–1645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12526>
- Zhuang M, Li Y, Guo Z et al (2018) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> levels influence the uptake and leaf concentration of mineral N, P, K in *Phyllostachys edulis* (Carrière) J. Houz. and *Oligostachyum lubricum* (wen) King f. *Forests* 9:1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f9040195>
- Zielińska M (2015) Plant ferritin - a source of iron to prevent its deficiency. *Nutrients* 7:1184–1201. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7021184>

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH (“Springer Nature”). Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users (“Users”), for small-scale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use (“Terms”). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

[onlineservice@springernature.com](mailto:onlineservice@springernature.com)